

REMARKS

This application has been carefully considered in connection with the Examiner's Final Office Action dated June 23, 2010 and the Advisory Action dated September 8, 2010. Reconsideration and allowance are respectfully requested in view of the following.

Summary of Rejections

Claims 14-23 and 32-42 were pending at the time of the Final Office Action.

Claims 14-16, 19-22, 32-34, 38, 39, 41, and 42 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103.

Claims 17, 18, 23, 35-37, and 40 are allowable if independent claim 14 is amended to include all the limitations of claims 17, 18, 23, 35-37, and 40.

With regard to the art rejections, the Final Office Action has cited McConnell, et al., U.S. Pub. No. 2003/0074313 ("McConnell"), Beckman, U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0143674 ("Beckman"), and Official Notice ("Official Notice").

Summary of Claims

Claims 14-16, 18-23, 32-34, 36, and 38-42 are currently pending following this response.

Claims 14, 18, 32, 36, and 40-42 are currently amended.

Claims 17, 35, and 37 are canceled herein.

Claims 1-13 and 24-31 were previously canceled.

Claims 16, 20, 22, 23, 33, 34, 38, and 39 were previously presented.

Claims 15, 19, and 21 remain as originally submitted.

Remarks and Arguments are provided below.

Interview Summary

Applicant thanks Examiner Mussa Shaawat for his time and consideration of the arguments presented in the telephone interview on September 20, 2010. In the interview Applicant discussed the Advisory Action. Also, in the interview Examiner Shaawat recommended amending claim 14 as it was presented in the previously filed response to include the limitations of claims 35 and 37. Examiner Shaawat indicated that such an amendment to claim 14 would render claim 14 allowable. Examiner Shaawat also recommended amending claims 23 and 40 back into their dependent form.

In the interest of advancing prosecution, the claims have been amended herein as suggested by Examiner Shaawat.

Detailed Response

Rejection of Claim 14 Under 35 U.C.S. § 103(a)

Claim 14 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over McConnell in view of Beckman.

I. Claim 14 has been amended to include the elements of allowable claims 17, 35, and 37.

Applicant respectfully submits that claim 14 has been amended to include the elements of allowable claims 17, 35, and 37. The Final Office Action stated that "[t]he

limitations of claims 17, 18, 23, 35-37 and 40 appear to be allowable if the applicant amends independent claim 14 to include all of the limitations of claims 17, 18, 23, 35-37 and 40." Furthermore, the Final Office Action did not reject claims 17, 35, and 37. Thus, Applicant respectfully submits that claims 17, 35, and 37 are allowable. Additionally, Applicant notes that in the telephone interview held on September 20, 2010, the Examiner indicated that amending claim 14 as it was presented in the previously filed response to include the limitations of claims 35 and 37 would render claim 14 allowable. Accordingly, by amending claim 14 to include the limitations of allowable claims 17, 35, and 37, Applicant respectfully submits that claim 14 is not taught or suggested by McConnell in view of Beckman and respectfully requests allowance of claim 14.

Rejection of Remaining Claims

Claims 15, 16, 21, 22, 32-34, 39, 41, and 42 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over McConnell in view of Beckman.

Claims 19, 20, and 38 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over McConnell in view of Beckman in further view of Official Notice.

The remaining claims 15, 16, 19-22, 32-34, 38, 39, 41, and 42 all depend on claim 14 and Applicant submits that none of the other applied references cure the deficiencies of the cited art discussed above. Accordingly, Applicant asserts that claims 15, 16, 19-22, 32-34, 38, 39, 41, and 42 are in condition for allowance for at least the reasons established above.

Furthermore, claims 18, 23, 36, and 40 all depend on claim 14. Applicant notes that claims 18, 23, 36, and 40 were not rejected, which thereby makes them allowable. Applicant respectfully submits that allowable claims 18, 23, 36, and 40 are in condition for allowance for at least the reasons established above.

Allowable Subject Matter

Applicant thanks Examiner Mussa A. Shaawat for his indication and clarification of allowable subject matter.

Conclusion

Applicant respectfully submits that the present application is in condition for allowance for the reasons stated above. If the Examiner has any questions or comments or otherwise feels it would be helpful in expediting the application, he is encouraged to telephone the undersigned at (972) 731-2288.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of any further fees associated with any of the foregoing papers submitted herewith, or to credit any overpayment thereof, to Deposit Account No. 21-0765, Sprint.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: September 22, 2010

CONLEY ROSE, P.C.
5601 Granite Parkway, Suite 750
Plano, Texas 75024
(972) 731-2288
(972) 731-2289 (facsimile)

/Michael W. Piper/

Michael W. Piper
Reg. No. 39,800

ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANT